

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRIAN TRACEY HILL,
Plaintiff,

v.

ELI HODAN, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:21-cv-01311-SKO (PC)

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* AND
DISREGARDING MOTION AS MOOT**

(Docs. 3, 6)

Plaintiff has filed a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. (Doc. 6.) Plaintiff adequately shows that he is unable to afford the costs of this action.¹ Accordingly, his current motion to proceed IFP (Doc. 6) is GRANTED. Plaintiff's previous motion to proceed IFP (Doc. 3) is DISREGARDED as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 27, 2021

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

¹ Plaintiff is civilly detained at Coalinga State Hospital. Persons civilly detained pursuant to California Welfare and Institutions Code § 6600, *et seq.*, are not prisoners within the meaning of the Prison Litigation Reform Act, and thus the Act's provisions regarding *in forma pauperis* status of prisoner-plaintiffs do not apply to them. *Page v. Torrey*, 201 F.3d 1136, 1140 (9th Cir. 2000).